

10 Nonlinear Filters

In many applications the basic system we consider is nonlinear, and cannot be accurately described by a linear model. Nonlinearity can arise both in the state dynamics and in the measurement equation. Alternatively, the noise statistics may be complex (e.g., highly non-unimodal), so that a Gaussian or 2nd order model is not adequate to describe it. In all these case we need to extend the basic filter to accommodate such non-linear effects.

Consider the general state-space model:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= f_k(x_k, w_k), \quad k \geq 0 \\z_k &= h_k(x_k, v_k),\end{aligned}$$

where $\{v_k, w_k\}$ are white noise sequences under the “usual” (strict sense) independence assumptions, with known distributions. The initial state distribution $p(x_0)$ is given as well.

As before, we wish to estimate x_k based on $Z_k = (z_0, \dots, z_k)$.

Consider first the optimal (MMSE) estimator,

$$\hat{x}_k = E(x_k | Z_k).$$

Unfortunately, in general there is no simple way to compute \hat{x}_k , without first computing the entire distribution $p(x_k)$. Consequently, some approximations are required. Furthermore, in certain cases a mere point estimator \hat{x}_k cannot adequately describe the state distribution.

The most common current approaches to state estimation in nonlinear models are:

1. The extended Kalman filter
2. Sigma-point Filters (aka Unscented Kalman Filter)
3. Particle Filters

The first two are Kalman-like filters, that are based on linearization of the system around the estimated trajectory. The third approach tries to approximate the entire distribution $p(x_k)$ using simulation (Monte-Carlo) techniques. We will describe these filters in turn.

10.1 The State Evolution Equations

Let us first write the equations for computation of the conditional distribution $p(x_k | Z_k)$. This computation can be done recursively, using the following two stages:

1. *Time Update.* Compute $p(x_{k+1} | Z_k)$ from $p(x_k | Z_k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{k+1} | Z_k) &= \int p(x_{k+1} | Z_k, x_k) p(x_k | Z_k) dx_k \\ &= \int p(x_{k+1} | x_k) p(x_k | Z_k) dx_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(x_{k+1} | x_k)$ is induced by the state equation.

2. *Measurement Update.* Compute $p(x_{k+1} | Z_{k+1})$ from $p(x_{k+1} | Z_k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{k+1} | Z_{k+1}) &= p(x_{k+1} | Z_k, z_{k+1}) = \frac{p(x_{k+1}, z_{k+1} | Z_k)}{p(z_{k+1} | Z_k)} \\ &= \frac{p(z_{k+1} | x_{k+1}, Z_k) p(x_{k+1} | Z_k)}{\int p(z_{k+1} | x_{k+1}, Z_k) p(x_{k+1} | Z_k) dx_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{p(z_{k+1} | x_{k+1}) p(x_{k+1} | Z_k)}{\int p(z_{k+1} | x_{k+1}) p(x_{k+1} | Z_k) dx_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(z_{k+1} | x_{k+1})$ is induced by the measurement equation.

Given $p(x_k|Z_k)$, we can obviously compute

$$\hat{x}_k = E(x_k|Z_k) = \int x_k p(x_k|Z_k) dx_k$$

its MSE $E(\|x_k - \hat{x}_k\|^2|Z_k)$, etc. However, the computation of $p(x_k|Z_k)$ using numerical integration techniques is complex, and becomes unfeasible if x is high-dimensional.

We therefore seek approximate solutions.

10.2 Observer-Like Filters

Motivated by the linear case, we will first look for sub-optimal filters of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_{k|k} &= \hat{x}_{k|k-1} + K_k(z_k - \bar{h}_k(\hat{x}_{k|k-1})) \\ \hat{x}_{k+1|k} &= \bar{f}_k(\hat{x}_{k|k}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}_k(x_k) &= E(f_k(x_k, w_k) | x_k) \\ \bar{h}_k(x_k) &= E(h_k(x_k, v_k) | x_k).\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the common model:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= f(x_k) + g(x_k)w_k \\ z_k &= h(x_k) + i(x_k)v_k\end{aligned}$$

(where w_k and v_k are zero-mean), we simply have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(x_k) &= f(x_k), \\ \bar{h}(x_k) &= h(x_k).\end{aligned}$$

The problem still remains: How to choose K_k , and how to estimate the covariance P_k .

For that purpose we can use *linearization*.

10.3 Linearization

The linearized model at time k around some state x_k^o (and 0 noise levels) is

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &\simeq f(x_k^o, 0) + F_k(x_k - x_k^o) + G_k w_k \\z_k &\simeq h(x_k^o, 0) + H_k(x_k - x_k^o) + I_k v_k,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_k &= \left. \frac{\partial f_k(x, w)}{\partial x} \right|_{(x_k^o, 0)} & G_k &= \left. \frac{\partial f_k(x, w)}{\partial w} \right|_{(x_k^o, 0)} \\H_k &= \left. \frac{\partial h(x, v)}{\partial x} \right|_{(x_k^o, 0)} & I_k &= \left. \frac{\partial h(x, v)}{\partial v} \right|_{(x_k^o, 0)}\end{aligned}$$

and all derivatives are evaluated at the point $x = x_k^o$, $w = 0$, $v = 0$. This gives a linear system (+ bias), and we can compute the KF gain and covariance with respect to (F_k, G_k, H_k, I_k) .

We still need to determine x_k^o . The ideal choice would be $x_k^o = x_k$ (the actual system state). However, x_k is unknown.

The following choices are feasible:

- (i) **Static linearization:** Choose some *fixed* nominal value: $x_k^o \equiv x^o$. This may work well if $\{F, G, H, I\}$ depend weakly on x .
- (ii) **Predefined trajectory:** If an approximate nominal trajectory (x_k^*) is known beforehand, we can use $x_k^o = x_k^*$.

- (iii) **The current estimate:** Choose x_k^0 as the most recent estimate of x_k , namely $x_k^0 \triangleq \hat{x}_{k|k-1}$ or $\hat{x}_{k|k}$. This gives rise to the **extended KF**.

10.4 The Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

The EKF proceeds as follows:

- ▷ Start with $\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$ and $P_{k|k-1}$.
- ▷ Measurement Update ($\hat{x}_{k|k-1} \rightarrow \hat{x}_{k|k}$):
 - ◇ Compute H_k and I_k at $\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$.
 - ◇ $\tilde{z}_k = z_k - \bar{h}_k(\hat{x}_{k|k-1})$.
 - ◇ Compute K_k , $\hat{x}_{k|k}$, $P_{k|k}$, using the usual equations.
- ▷ Time Update ($\hat{x}_{k|k} \rightarrow \hat{x}_{k+1|k}$):
 - ◇ Computer F_k and G_k at $\hat{x}_{k|k}$.
 - ◇ $\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = \bar{f}_k(\hat{x}_{k|k})$.
 - ◇ $P_{k+1|k} = F_k P_{k|k} F_k^T + G_k Q_k G_k^T$.

Remarks:

1. The EKF is a heuristic filter based on first-order approximation. It is not optimal, and no guarantee of bounded error is provided a-priori.
2. The EKF is non-stationary. Its gain K_k and covariance P_k must be computed on-line.

3. The computed covariance matrix P_k is no longer the actual error covariance, but rather an estimate.
It might happen, for example, that P_k is small while the actual error is large; this causes “filter divergence”.
4. The EKF may fail completely in highly nonlinear problems. However, in many applications it performs well, after some tuning.
5. The covariances Q_k, R_k should be taken large enough to “cover” also for linearization errors.

The Iterated EKF: A simple improvement of the EKF is obtained by repeating the linearization step with the new value of \hat{x}_k . This applies to the measurement update, as follows:

- ▷ start with $\hat{x}_{k|k}^0 = \hat{x}_{k|k-1}$.
- ▷ for $i = 1, \dots, N$:
 - compute H_k^i, I_k^i and \tilde{z}_k^i at $\hat{x}_{k|k}^i$
 - compute $K_k^{i+1}, \hat{x}_{k|k}^{i+1}, P_{k|k}^{i+1}$.

N may be fixed as a small integer (say 4), or the iteration may continue until the difference is small.

10.5 The Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)

The Unscented KF takes another approach to linearization – which uses representative sample points. It was shown in various applications to be more robust than the EKF, while requiring the same order of calculations. Moreover, it does not require analytic computation of the derivatives of the nonlinearities, and not even that these derivatives exist.

Original References:

S. Julier, J. Uhlmann and H. Durrant-Whyte, “A new method for the nonlinear transformation of means and covariance in filters and estimators”, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, March 2000, pp. 477–482.

S. Julier, J. Uhlmann, “Unscented filtering and nonlinear estimation”, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, March 2004, pp. 401–422.

a. Estimating nonlinear functions of random vectors

Let \mathbf{x} be a random vector with known mean $m_{\mathbf{x}}$ and covariance $P_{\mathbf{x}}$. Let $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$ be a nonlinear transformation of \mathbf{x} , and suppose we want to estimate the mean $m_{\mathbf{y}}$ and covariance $P_{\mathbf{y}}$ of \mathbf{y} . Possible approaches include the following:

1. Local linearization: Let $F = \left. \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=m_{\mathbf{x}}}$. Then $\mathbf{y} \simeq F(\mathbf{x} - m_{\mathbf{x}}) + f(m_{\mathbf{x}})$, hence $\hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} = f(m_{\mathbf{x}})$ and $\hat{P}_{\mathbf{y}} = FP_{\mathbf{x}}F^T$.

This approach leads to the Extended KF.

2. Monte-Carlo sampling: Here we assume that the distribution $p_{\mathbf{x}}$ of \mathbf{x} is given. We *sample* random points $\{\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_N\}$ from $p_{\mathbf{x}}$, and compute $\mathcal{Y}_i = f(\mathcal{X}_i)$ for

$i = 1, \dots, N$. We can now estimate:

$$\hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{Y}_i, \quad \hat{P}_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathcal{Y}_i - \hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}})(\mathcal{Y}_i - \hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}})^T.$$

In fact, we can use $\{\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_N\}$ to estimate the entire distribution $p_{\mathbf{y}}$.

This approach leads to the *Particle Filter* that we describe later.

3. **Deterministic sample points:** Here we choose *deterministic* points $\{\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_N\}$ and corresponding weights $\{W_1, \dots, W_N\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N W_i = 1$ so that:

$$m_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_i \mathcal{X}_i, \quad P_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_i (\mathcal{X}_i - m_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathcal{X}_i - m_{\mathbf{x}})^T.$$

We can now compute $\mathcal{Y}_i = f(\mathcal{X}_i)$ and estimate

$$\hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_i \mathcal{Y}_i, \quad \hat{P}_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_i (\mathcal{Y}_i - \hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}})(\mathcal{Y}_i - \hat{m}_{\mathbf{y}})^T. \quad (1)$$

The points $\{\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_N\}$ are called *sigma points*.

This approach leads to the UKF.

b. The Unscented Transform

We now specify the choice of the sigma points. Assuming $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_0 &= m_{\mathbf{x}}, & W_0 &= \frac{\lambda}{n + \lambda} \\ \mathcal{X}_i &= m_{\mathbf{x}} + \sqrt{n + \lambda} (\sqrt{P_{\mathbf{x}}})_i, & W_i &= \frac{1}{2(n + \lambda)}, \quad i = 1 \dots n \\ \mathcal{X}_{n+i} &= m_{\mathbf{x}} - \sqrt{n + \lambda} (\sqrt{P_{\mathbf{x}}})_i, & W_{n+i} &= \frac{1}{2(n + \lambda)}, \quad i = 1 \dots n \end{aligned}$$

Here \sqrt{P} is the square root of P , namely any matrix M so that $MM^T = P$, and $(\sqrt{P})_i$ is its i -th column. λ is a (positive or negative) parameter that controls the weight of \mathcal{X}_0 .

It is easily seen that this choice satisfies the required equalities for $m_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{x}}$. Furthermore, the odd central moments are all zero by symmetry.

Remarks:

The parameter λ controls the separation of the sigma points, can be tuned (for example) to match 4th moments of $p_{\mathbf{x}}$. For the Gaussian distribution, this is obtained for $n + \lambda = 3$. (Verify that for $P_{\mathbf{x}} = I$ this choice obtains $E(X_i^4) = 3$.) However, note that $\lambda < 0$ may lead to loss of positive definiteness of covariance matrices (see below), hence should be handled with care.

Efficient and robust algorithms are available to compute \sqrt{P} . In particular, the Cholesky decomposition gives a lower-triangular \sqrt{P} .

The estimates \hat{m}_y and \hat{P}_y are computed as in equation (1).

c. Basic filter equations

Let us return to the dynamic system $x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, w_k)$, $z_k = h_k(x_k, v_k)$. At stage k , we start with $\hat{x}_{k-1|k-1}$ and $P_{k-1|k-1}$, which we consider as estimates for the first and second moments of the random vector $x_{k-1|k-1}$. The filter equations that we wish to approximate are:

(i) Time update:

$$\hat{x}_{k|k-1} = E(x_{k|k-1}), \quad P_{k|k-1} = \text{cov}(x_{k|k-1})$$

where

$$x_{k|k-1} = f_{k-1}(x_{k-1|k-1}, w_{k-1})$$

(ii) Measurement update:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_{k|k} &= \hat{x}_{k|k-1} + K_k \tilde{z}_k \\ P_{k|k} &= P_{k|k-1} - K_k \text{cov}(\tilde{z}_k) K_k^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{z}_k &= z_k - \hat{z}_{k|k-1} \\ \hat{z}_{k|k-1} &= E(h_k(x_{k|k-1}, v_k)) \\ K_k &= \text{cov}(x_k, z_k) \text{cov}(\tilde{z}_k)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

d. Sigma points for stage k

Let

$$x^a = \begin{pmatrix} x_{k-1|k-1} \\ w_{k-1} \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^a = \text{cov}(x_a) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{k-1|k-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Choose sigma points $\mathcal{X}_0^a, \dots, \mathcal{X}_N^a$ for x^a (where $N = 2(n_x + n_w + n_v)$), with weights W_0, \dots, W_N .

Denote (using Matlab notation) $\mathcal{X}_i^a = [\mathcal{X}_i^{k-1|k-1}; \mathcal{X}_i^w; \mathcal{X}_i^v]$.

e. Time Update

Compute

$$\mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1} = f_{k-1}(\mathcal{X}_i^{k-1|k-1}, \mathcal{X}_i^w), \quad i = 0, \dots, N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_{k|k-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^N W_i \mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1} \\ P_{k|k-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^N W_i (\mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{x}_{k|k-1})(\mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{x}_{k|k-1})^T.\end{aligned}$$

f. Measurement Update

Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Z}_i^{k|k-1} &= h_{k-1}(\mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1}, \mathcal{X}_i^v), \quad i = 0, \dots, N \\
 \hat{z}_{k|k-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^N W_i \mathcal{Z}_i^{k|k-1} \\
 \text{cov}(\tilde{z}_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^N W_i (\mathcal{Z}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{z}_{k|k-1})(\mathcal{Z}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{z}_{k|k-1})^T \\
 \text{cov}(x_k, z_k) &\equiv \text{cov}(x_{k|k-1}, z_{k|k-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^N W_i (\mathcal{X}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{x}_{k|k-1})(\mathcal{Z}_i^{k|k-1} - \hat{z}_{k|k-1})^T
 \end{aligned}$$

and substitute in the filter equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_k &= \text{cov}(x_k, z_k) \text{cov}(\tilde{z}_k)^{-1} \\
 \hat{x}_{k|k} &= \hat{x}_{k|k-1} + K_k (z_k - \hat{z}_{k|k-1}) \\
 P_{k|k} &= P_{k|k-1} - K_k \text{cov}(\tilde{z}_k) K_k^T
 \end{aligned}$$