

Exercise 2: Half Quadratic Splitting and Contrastive Divergence Learning (Due 3/5/2017)*

Statistical Methods in Image Processing 048926

Half Quadratic Splitting (60 points)

As we saw in class, using the pairwise-cliques model with potential $\rho(\cdot)$, the MAP estimate of an image x from its degraded version $y = Hx + n$ can be computed by solving

$$\arg \min_{x, \{z_{i,j}\}} \frac{1}{2\sigma_n^2} \|y - Hx\|^2 + \sum_f \sum_{(i,j) \in c} b_{ij} \rho(z_{ij}^f) + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_f \sum_{\{i,j\} \in C} \|z_{ij}^f - (d^f * x)_{ij}\|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\{z_{i,j}\}$ are auxiliary variables and f represents the filter index. In our case, h stand for horizontal derivatives and v for vertical derivatives ($d^h = [1, -1]$, $d^v = [1, -1]^T$ respectively). This problem is solved iteratively, by alternating between minimizing the objective with respect to x and z while gradually increasing β .

1. Implement the x -step:

- (a) Write the solution of (1) with respect to x while regarding z as fixed.
- (b) Since the solution involves convolutions, it is easier (and faster) to implement it in the frequency domain. Write this step in the frequency domain.
- (c) Implement this x update step. Pay attention: frequency domain operations require additional processes. Pad the image using the matlab function `padarray` using 'replicate' mode. Use the function `fftshift` if required. As a sanity check, after returning to the spatial domain, you should get a real valued image. Don't use `real` or `abs` to suppress non-negligible imaginary components! Make sure your code produces real values to begin with.

*Please send your solutions to Tamar

2. Implement the z-step:

(a) Minimizing (1) with respect to z boils down to:

$$\arg \min_{\{z_{i,j}\}} \sum_f \sum_{(i,j) \in c} b_{ij} \rho(z_{ij}^f) + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_f \sum_{(i,j) \in c} \|z_{ij}^f - (d^f * x)_{ij}\|^2,$$

which can be solved separately for each z_{ij} . Using the TV prior $\rho(\Delta) = |\Delta|/\sigma_x$, write the solution for each z_{ij} .

(b) Implement this z update step by updating each z_{ij}^f independently.

3. Implement the full HQS scheme by iterating between updating z , updating x and increasing β .

4. Show the results of the HQS optimization scheme in the following settings. Use the two images in the supplementary zip file. Compare the results in terms of PSNR

$$\text{PSNR}(x, y) = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{255^2}{\sum_i (x_i - y_i)^2} \right).$$

Use the estimation for $\hat{\sigma}_x$ from the clean images using the function from HW1.

(a) Denoising: show the denoising results using the TV prior for $\sigma = 15, 25, 35$.

(b) Deblurring: show the deblurring results using the TV prior for the given blur kernels and with a noise variance of $\sigma_n = 2.5$.

5. Discuss and compare the differences between the Majorization Minimization scheme of HW1 and Half Quadratic Splitting.

Contrastive Divergence Learning (40 points)

1. Given a set of realizations $\{I\}$ (training examples) drawn from the product of Student- t (PoT) distributions

$$p(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(J_n^T x)^2\right)^{-\alpha_n},$$

we would like to estimate the parameters $\theta = \{J_n, \alpha_n\}$. Assuming we know how to draw samples from this model, write a closed form expression for the θ update step in the Contrastive Divergence algorithm.

2. Matlab Implementation

Consider the distribution

$$p(x; \{\mu_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|x - \mu_i\|^2 \right\},$$

where $x, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

(a) Initialization

- i. Describe how to draw a sample x from $p(x; \{\mu_i\})$ given $\{\mu_i\}$. Write a function that accepts $\{\mu_i\}$, and returns a sample x from $p(x; \{\mu_i\})$.
- ii. Use $N = 4$ and $\mu = \{(0, 0)^T, (0, 2)^T, (2, 0)^T, (2, 2)^T\}$ and draw 1000 samples x from $p(x; \{\mu_i\})$.

(b) Contrastive divergence estimation of $\{\mu_i\}$

From now on we will refer to $\{\mu_i\}$ as unknowns and we will estimate them using the contrastive divergence algorithm.

- i. Randomly select an initial guess $\{\tilde{\mu}_i\}$.
- ii. Draw 1000 samples \tilde{x} from $p(x; \{\mu_i\})$ using $\{\tilde{\mu}_i\}$.
- iii. Update $\{\tilde{\mu}_i\}$ using the gradient descent step:

$$\tilde{\mu}_i^{k+1} = \tilde{\mu}_i^k + \eta (\langle \nabla_{\mu_i} \log p(x; \{\mu_i\}) \rangle_x - \langle \nabla_{\mu_i} \log p(x; \{\mu_i\}) \rangle_{\tilde{x}}),$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_x$ denotes averaging over the input samples from 2(a)ii and $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{x}}$ denotes averaging over the synthetically generated samples from 2(b)ii.

- iv. Repeat 2(b)ii and 2(b)iii until convergence.