On Universal Properties of Capacity-Approaching LDPC Code Ensembles

Igal Sason

Department of Electrical Engineering Technion - Israel Institute of Technology Haifa 32000, Israel

2009 Information Theory and Applications (ITA) Workshop, UCSD, San-Diego, California, USA

February 8–13, 2009.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Degree Distributions of LDPC Code Ensembles

Consider the case where transmission takes place over a memoryless, binary-input output-symmetric (MBIOS) channel.

- Let a designate the pdf of the log-likelihood ratio (LLR) at the channel output given that the channel input is zero. Then, the symmetry property holds (i.e., a(I) = e^Ia(−I) for I ∈ ℝ).
- Consider LDPC code ensembles whose design rate forms a fraction 1 − ε of the channel capacity with a target bit error probability P_b.

Question

What can be said about the degree distributions of the LDPC code ensembles in this setting ?

(a) < ((a) <

Degree Distributions of LDPC Code Ensembles (Cont.)

In this work

- Linear programming (LP) bounds on the degree distributions of LDPC code ensembles are derived.
- They provide upper bounds on the fraction of edges or nodes up to degree *k* where *k* is a parameter.
- They are general since they hold even under ML decoding (and, hence, also under any sub-optimal decoding algorithm).
- The bounds also apply to finite-length codes.
- Analytical solutions of these bounds are obtained via Lagrange duality, and these bounds are easy to calculate.

A Brief Outline of the Derivation of the LP Bounds

 A lower bound on the conditional entropy for binary linear block codes transmitted over MBIOS channels gets the form

$$\frac{H(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})}{n} \geq R - C + \frac{1-R}{2\ln 2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(g_p)}{p(2p-1)}$$

where

$$g_{p} riangleq \int_{0}^{\infty} a(l)(1+e^{-l}) anh^{2p}\left(rac{l}{2}
ight) dl, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and $\Gamma(x) \triangleq \sum_{k} \Gamma_{k} x^{k}$ forms the degree distribution of the parity-check nodes, from the node perspective, of an arbitrary representation of the code by a **full-rank** parity-check matrix (Wiechman & Sason, IEEE Trans. on IT, Feb. 2007).

I. Sason (Technion)

4 E N 4 E N

Fano inequality.

- $g_p \ge (g_1)^p$, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, with equality for the BSC.
- An adaptation of these results to LDPC code ensembles, whose parity-check matrices are not necessarily full rank (i.e., the parity-check equations are linearly dependent), is needed.
- The above IT bound is proved to hold for every code from a binary LDPC code ensemble when the code rate R is replaced by the design rate (R_d) of the ensemble ($R \ge R_d$).
- The derivation of the LP bounds finally relies on the equality

$$\frac{1}{2\ln 2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{u^k}{k(2k-1)}=1-h_2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{u}}{2}\right), \ \forall \ u\in[0,1].$$

where h_2 designates the binary entropy function on base 2.

LP1 bound for the degree distribution of the parity-check nodes for LDPC code ensembles

maximize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i$$
, $k = 1, 2, ...$
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[1 - h_2 \left(\frac{1 - g_1^i}{2} \right) \right] \frac{\rho_i}{i} \right\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon C + h_2(P_b)}{1 - (1 - \varepsilon)C} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i}{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i = 1 \\ \rho_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ... \end{cases}$$

where the optimization variables are $\{\rho_i\}_{i\geq 1}$. The quantity g_1 above depends on the channel statistics only.

I. Sason (Technion)

LP2 bound for the degree distribution: Universal for all equi-capacity MBIOS channels

Replace the parameter g_1 with the channel capacity *C* (where, in general, $g_1 \ge C$ with equality for the BEC).



Figure: The universal LP2 bound versus the heavy-tail Poisson degree distribution, and the degree distribution of the right-regular LDPC ensemble. It refers to the fraction of edges which are attached to parity-check nodes of degree $\leq k$ for an integer $k \geq 2$. Considered here is a BEC whose capacity is $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per channel use, and where 99.9% of capacity is achieved under iterative message-passing decoding with vanishing bit erasure probability.

Asymptotic Behavior of the Degree Distributions

Corollary

If the asymptotic bit error/ erasure probability vanishes, then the following properties hold for an arbitrary finite degree i

$$L_{i} = O(1), \qquad R_{i} = O(\varepsilon),$$

$$\lambda_{i} = O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad \rho_{i} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right)$$

where $\{L_i\}$ and $\{R_i\}$ are the degree distributions of the variable and parity-check nodes, respectively, and $\{\lambda_i\}$ and $\{\rho_i\}$ are the corresponding degree distributions from the edge perspective.

- These bounds hold under ML decoding (or any other algorithm).
- The upper bounds on the left degree distribution look at first glance looser than those for the right degree distribution (due to the additional factor ε in the latter case).
- However, it is not an artifact of the bounding technique, as it indeed reflects reality, e.g.:
 - For various capacity-achieving degree distributions on the BEC with iterative message-passing decoding, the fraction of degree-2 variable nodes tends to ¹/₂.
 - The upper bound on the fraction of edges connected to degree-2 variable nodes (λ₂) is shown in this work to be obtained for the right-regular LDPC code ensemble of Shokrollahi which achieves capacity on the BEC under iterative decoding.

(a)

Information-Theoretic Lower Bounds on the Tradeoff Between the Graphical Complexity and Performance

Question

Consider the representation of a finite-length binary linear block code by an arbitrary bipartite graph. How simple can such a graphical representation be as a function of the channel model, target block error probability, and code rate ?

Answer \Rightarrow

- Information-theoretic lower bounds which measure the inherent graphical complexity of finite-length LDPC codes as a function of their achievable gap to capacity.
- Provides a measure of the sub-optimality of explicit constructions of LDPC codes by comparing to information-theoretic bounds.

(a)

Graphical Complexity versus Performance (Cont.)

- We provide in this work an information-theoretic lower bound on the graphical complexity which depends on the channel model, target block error probability, and the code rate.
- This bound relies on two previous information-theoretic results:
 - A new lower bound on the average left/ right degrees in the bipartite graph as a function of the channel, target block/ bit error probability, and the achievable gap to capacity.
 - Sphere-packing lower bounds: We rely here on the classical 1959 sphere-packing bound of Shannon, and the recently introduced ISP bound (Wiechman & Sason, IEEE Trans. on IT, May 2008).
- The graphical complexity, defined as the number of edges in the bipartite graph, is simply the product of the block length and the average left degree.



Figure: A comparison between the graphical complexity of various efficient LDPC code ensembles and an information-theoretic lower bound.

|--|

UCSD, February 2009. 13 / 18

3 1 4 3

< 17 →

Cardinality of the Fundamental System of Cycles of Good LDPC Code Ensembles

- Binary Linear block codes which are represented by cycle-free bipartite graphs are not good even under ML decoding.
- A theoretical treatment of cycle-free codes was provided by T. Etzion, A. Trachtenberg and A. Vardy, "Which codes have cycle-free Tanner graphs ?," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 2173–2181, September 1999.

Question

What can be said about the cardinality of the fundamental system of cycles of LDPC code ensembles as a function of the achievable gap (in rate) to capacity ?

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Theorem

Let $\{(n, \lambda, \rho)\}$ be a sequence of LDPC code ensembles transmitted over an MBIOS channel. Suppose that the design rate is a fraction $1 - \varepsilon$ of the channel capacity C, and the average bit error probability of this sequence vanishes under some decoding algorithm as $n \to \infty$. Consider the average cardinality of the fundamental system of cycles, $\beta_n(\mathcal{G})$, where the graphs \mathcal{G} are chosen uniformly at random from the LDPC code ensemble (n, λ, ρ) . Then, the following result holds:

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\beta_n(\mathcal{G})]}{n} \geq \frac{(1-C) \ln\left(g_1 \left[1-2h_2^{-1}\left(\frac{1-C}{1-(1-\varepsilon)C}\right)\right]^{-2}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{g_1}\right)} - 1$$

where $g_1 \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[\tanh^2\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) \right]$ and *L* forms the LLR at the channel output.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Corollary

The average cardinality of the fundamental system of cycles grows at least like $\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ where the achievable design rate forms a fraction $1 - \varepsilon$ of the channel capacity.

 \Rightarrow The fundamental system of cycles becomes <u>unbounded</u> as the achievable gap to capacity vanishes (even under ML decoding).

Essence of the proof of this theorem: A combination of an improved lower bound on the average right degree (which behaves like $\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$), which follows from the lower bound on the conditional entropy, with some simple arguments from graph theory.



Figure: Asymptotic lower bounds on the average cardinality of the fundamental system (see Theorem 1). The bounds refer to the BSC, BIAWGNC and BEC where the design rate is $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per channel use.

∃ → < ∃</p>

1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
<p

Full Paper Version

I. Sason, "On Universal Properties of Capacity-Approaching LDPC Code Ensembles", accepted to *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, February 2009.

- T