On List Decoding of Alternant Codes in the Hamming and Lee Metrics¹

Ido Tal Ron M. Roth Computer Science Department, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel. {idotal, ronny}@cs.technion.ac.il

I. Preliminaries

Let F = GF(q) and $\Phi = GF(q^m)$ and consider the alternant code over F,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{alt}} = \left\{ (v_j u(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^n \in F^n : u(x) \in \Phi[x], \, \deg u(x) < k \right\} \;,$$

where α_j are distinct elements of Φ and $v_j \in \Phi \setminus \{0\}$ for every j in $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

The next lemma is the basis of the list decoder in [1],[2]. Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,j})_{\gamma \in F, j \in [n]}$ be a $q \times n$ matrix over the set \mathbb{N} of nonnegative integers. The *score* of a codeword $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{alt}}$ with respect to \mathcal{M} is defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{c_j,j}$.

Lemma 1 [1] Let ℓ and β be positive integers and \mathcal{M} be a $q \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{N} . Suppose there exists a nonzero bivariate polynomial $Q(x,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{h} Q_{h,i} x^{h} z^{i}$ over Φ that satisfies (i) $(k-1)i + h \geq \beta \implies Q_{h,i} = 0$, and—

(ii) for all $\gamma \in F$, $j \in [n]$, and $0 \leq s + t < \mathcal{M}_{\gamma,j}$,

$$\sum_{h,i} {h \choose s} {i \choose t} Q_{h,i} \alpha_j^{h-s} (\gamma/v_j)^{i-t} = 0 .$$

Then for every $\mathbf{c} = (v_j u(\alpha_j))_{j=1}^n \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{alt}}$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{c}) \ge \beta \implies (z - u(x)) | Q(x, z) .$$

Fix some metric $\mathbf{d} : F^n \times F^n \to \mathbb{R}$. A list- ℓ decoder for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{alt}}$ (with respect to $\mathbf{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$) can now be designed as follows. Find an integer β and a mapping $\mathcal{M} : F^n \to \mathbb{N}^{q \times n}$ such that for the largest possible integer τ , the following two conditions hold for the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y})$ that corresponds to any received word \mathbf{y} , whenever a codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{alt}}$ satisfies $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y}) \leq \tau$:

(C1) $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y})}(\mathbf{c}) \geq \beta$.

(C2) (i) and (ii) are satisfied by some $Q(x, z) \neq 0$.

II. LIST DECODER IN THE HAMMING METRIC

Assume in this section that $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hamming metric.

Proposition 2 For integers $0 \leq \bar{r} < r \leq \ell$, let θ be the unique real such that

$$R = \frac{k-1}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{\binom{\ell+1}{2}} \left((r-\bar{r})(\ell+1)\theta + \binom{\ell+1-r}{2} + \binom{\bar{r}+1}{2}(q-1) \right)$$

Given any positive integer $\tau < n\theta$, conditions (C1) and (C2) are satisfied for

$$\beta = r(n-\tau) + \bar{r}\tau$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} r & \quad if \ y_j = \gamma \\ \bar{r} & \quad otherwise \end{array} \right., \quad \gamma \in F \ , \quad j \in [n] \ .$$

 $^1\mathrm{This}$ work was supported by Grant No. 94/99 from the Israel Science Foundation.

Instead of maximizing $\theta = \theta(R, \ell, r, \bar{r})$ over r and \bar{r} , we find it easier to maximize $R = R(\theta, \ell, r, \bar{r})$ for a given θ (and ℓ). For $0 \le \theta \le 1 - \frac{1}{\ell+1} \lceil \frac{\ell+1}{q} \rceil$, the maximizing values are:

$$r = \ell + 1 - \lceil (\ell + 1)\theta \rceil$$
 and $\bar{r} = \lceil (\ell + 1)\theta/(q-1)\rceil - 1$.

The decoding radius, τ , obtained in this case is exactly the one implied by a Johnson-type bound for the Hamming metric. Also, as $\ell \to \infty$, the value $R(\theta, \ell) = \max_{r,\bar{r}} R(\theta, \ell, r, \bar{r})$ converges to the expression $1 - 2\theta + \frac{q}{q-1}\theta^2$ obtained in [1].

III. LIST DECODER IN THE LEE METRIC

For an element a in \mathbb{Z}_q (the ring of integers modulo q), let |a| be the Lee weight of a. We fix a bijection $\langle \cdot \rangle : F \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ and assume in this section that $\mathsf{d}((x_j), (y_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j \rangle - \langle y_j \rangle|.$

Proposition 3 For integers $0 < \Delta \leq r \leq \ell$, let θ be the unique real such that

$$R = \frac{k-1}{n} = \frac{1}{\binom{\ell+1}{2}} \left((\ell+1)(r-\theta\Delta) - \binom{r+1}{2}(2\lambda+1) + \binom{\lambda+1}{2}\Delta(1+2r-\frac{(2\lambda+1)}{3}\Delta) + \binom{r-\lambda\Delta+1}{2}\delta \right),$$
(1)

where $\lambda = \min\{\lfloor r/\Delta \rfloor, \lfloor q/2 \rfloor\}$, and $\delta=1$ if $\lambda=q/2$ and $\delta=0$ otherwise. Given any positive integer $\tau < n\theta$, conditions (C1) and (C2) are satisfied for

$$\beta = rn - \tau \Delta$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,j} = \max\{0, r - |(\langle y_j \rangle - \langle \gamma \rangle)| \Delta\}, \ \gamma \in F, \ j \in [n].$$

For fixed $\Delta \in [\ell]$, the expression in (1) is maximized when $\lambda = \min\{\lfloor \sqrt{\ell/\Delta} \rfloor, \lfloor q/2 \rfloor\}$ and

$$r = \begin{cases} \lfloor (\ell + \Delta \lambda^2) / (2\lambda) \rfloor & \text{if } \lambda = q/2 \\ \lfloor (\ell + \Delta (\lambda^2 + \lambda)) / (2\lambda + 1) \rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We then maximize (1) over Δ to get the best $R = R(\theta, \ell)$.

Proposition 4 For $0 < \theta \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{4}q^2 \rfloor/q$, let L be the largest integer such that $L \leq q/2$ and $L^2 \leq 3L\theta+1$. Then,

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} R(\theta, \ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + 2L^2 - 6L\theta + 6\theta^2}{2L + L^3} & \text{if } L = \frac{q}{2} \\ \frac{L + 3L^2 + 2L^3 - 6L\theta(1 + L - \theta) + 3\theta^2}{L + 2L^2 + 2L^3 + L^4} & \text{if } L < \frac{q}{2} \end{cases}$$

The decoding radius obtained in the asymptotic case $(\ell \to \infty)$ is generally strictly larger than the one implied by a Johnson-type bound for the Lee metric.

References

- R. KOETTER, A. VARDY, Algebraic soft-decision decoding of Reed-Solomon codes, preprint, 2000.
- [2] R. KOETTER, A. VARDY, Decoding of Reed-Solomon codes for additive cost functions, Proc. Int'l Symp. Inform. Theory (ISIT'2002), Lausanne, Switzerland (July 2002), p. 313.