Cramér-Rao Lower Bound on AoA Estimation Using an RF Lens-embedded Antenna Array

Jae-Nam Shim, Hongseok Park, Student Member, IEEE,

Chan-Byoung Chae, Senior Member, IEEE, Dong Ku Kim, Senior Member, IEEE and Yonina C. Eldar, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—In this letter, we investigate the Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB) on angle of arrival (AoA) estimation of an RF lens-embedded antenna array. We first derive an expression for the received signal, in terms of intrinsic lens characteristics, using an RF lens-embedded antenna array. As the AoA changes, the received signal through the RF lens changes in amplitude. This differentiates the RF lens-embedded antenna array from a conventional uniform linear array (ULA) without an RF lens. Based on this property, we next derive the CRLB on AoA estimation and confirm that the CRLB of an RF lens-embedded antenna array may be better than the CRLB for a conventional ULA in a certain desired range. The results can be used as a guideline for designing RF lens-embedded antenna arrays in 5G localization.

Index Terms—Cramér-Rao lower bound, AoA estimation, lens antenna.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the emergence of 5th generation (5G) communications, the demands for high data rates and low latency are gradually increasing. To meet these demands, many researchers [1]-[3] have proposed and supported core technologies including massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), cell densification, and millimeter wave (mmWave). In mmWave communications, the free space loss is so severe that the use of directive and/or beam-steerable antennas is required. The authors in [4]–[9] proposed a novel approach of applying a lens antenna to mmWave MIMO, which offers the advantages of high gain, narrow beam width, and low sidelobes in undesired directions. In addition, a number of studies have been conducted on lens antennas, such as research on lens antenna design [10], [11], and on reducing the signal distortion of a receiver using the angle of arrival (AoA) dependent energyfocusing property of a lens array [12].

The authors in [13] showed that in ultra-dense networks (UDN) beamforming that uses location information based on line-of-sight (LOS) was superior to beamforming that uses full-band channel state information. The better performance was evident in terms of network throughput due to the presence of pilot contamination in 5G environments. In that work, the extended Kalman filter was used to estimate the location information and the receive beamforming was aligned to the



Fig. 1: Ideal lens system model description.

dominant LOS. The improvement of network mean throughput was proven regardless of the mobility of users.

In most practical cases, the location information is determined by the estimation of direction and distance from angle of departure (AoD), AoA, time of arrival (ToA), and time of departure (ToD). In particular, the 5G public-privatepartnership automotive vertical white paper [14] proposed the highly accurate localization requirement. This demand was one of eight key performance indicators for the five different vertical industries– autonomous, e-health, factory, energy, and media sector. Therefore, to meet the requirements for 5G convergence industries, accurate estimation of AoA is important.

In this letter, we determine a model of the received signal passing through an RF lens for the phase array founded by exploiting optics. Based on this model, we then derive the CRLB on AoA estimation using a lens MIMO antenna, which constitutes the location information. We then compare it with a conventional ULA without RF lens, graphically demonstrating that there exists a certain range of AoA that antennas with an RF lens have potential to show better performance than antennas without a lens in AoA estimation.

II. LENS CHARACTERISTICS

J.-N. Shim, H. Park, D. K. Kim are with the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Yonsei University, Korea. (e-mail: {jaenam, phs0127, dkkim} @yonsei.ac.kr). C.-B. Chae is with the School of Integrated Technology, Yonsei University, Korea (e-mail: cbchae@yonsei.ac.kr). Y. C. Eldar is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Israel (e-mail: yonina@ee.technion.ac.il).

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/LAWP.2018.2875162, IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters

We begin by describing the received signal caused by diffraction based on wave optics.¹ In particular, we use a simplified model to describe the wave characteristic of light.

In optics, a lens is a device that concentrates or disperses light using refraction phenomena. The characteristics of the spherical wave and the lens are used to analyze a signal passing through the lens [15]. Specifically, a planar wave is a summation of omnidirectional spherical waves and the received signal passing through the positive lens at the same angle is concentrated at one point. Fig. 1 represents a slit of width L, which is illuminated by a wave arriving at the lens in parallel from the left.

Let y be the received signal at the focal plane (the focal points are placed at distance x and angle θ from the origin O) through the entire slit and δs be the infinitesimal element of width on the slit at point s of which distance from origin is O. Then δy_s is the partial received signal generated by all directional signal components passing through δs . The directional signal is obtained by splitting a planar wave into a sum of spherical waves.

When δs is placed on the origin, δy_s becomes δy_O and its amplitude is proportional to the length δs . The inverse of the square root of the distance for two-dimensional spherical waves [15], can be expressed as follows:

$$\delta y_O = \frac{p\delta s}{\sqrt{x}} e^{j2\pi(f_c t - x/\lambda)} \tag{1}$$

where p is the signal amplitude, x is the length between the origin and the focal plane, and f_c and λ are the frequency and the wave length, respectively.

Due to the characteristics of the lens, the signals received at the same angle are concentrated at one point. That is, the signal components received at 0 degree angle through the slit arrive in the same phase at P_O , and the received signal components passing through the slit at angle θ experience the same phase and distance attenuation. Therefore, the received signal at the focal plane for the component signal passing through the slit at angle θ can be readily expressed by noting that their path would be longer by $\ell = s \sin(\theta)$. This results in a phase difference in P, which varies according to the path difference as the position of δs changes

$$\delta y_s = \frac{p\delta s}{\sqrt{x}} e^{j2\pi \{f_c t - (x - s\sin(\theta))/\lambda\}}.$$
 (2)

To obtain the entire received signal through the lens, the received signal through δs is integrated from one edge of the slit s = -L/2 to the other edge L/2. We assume symmetry of elements at s and -s, that is, $\delta y = \delta y_{-s} + \delta y_s$. Applying Euler's formula and the identity $\sin(\alpha) + \sin(\beta) = 2\cos(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2})\sin(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2})$, we obtain

$$\delta y = \frac{2p\delta s}{\sqrt{x}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s\sin(\theta)}{\lambda}\right) e^{j2\pi(f_c t - x/\lambda)}.$$
 (3)

¹Wave optics utilizes the wave property rather than the directivity.

Integrating from s = 0 to L/2 results in the received signal

$$y = \frac{2p}{\sqrt{x}} e^{j2\pi(f_c t - x/\lambda)} \int_0^{L/2} \cos\left(2\pi s \sin(\theta)/\lambda\right) \delta s$$

$$= \frac{pL}{\sqrt{x}} \operatorname{sin}\left\{L\sin(\theta)/\lambda\right\} e^{-j2\pi x/\lambda} e^{j2\pi f_c t}.$$
(4)

2

Suppose next that the signal arrives with an angle of ϕ . The expression in (4) is then modified by replacing sinc $\{L\sin(\theta)/\lambda\}$ with sinc $[L \{\sin(\theta) - \sin(\phi)\}/\lambda]$, leading to

$$y = \frac{pL}{\sqrt{x}} \operatorname{sin}\left[L\left\{\sin(\theta) - \sin(\phi)\right\}/\lambda\right] e^{-j2\pi x/\lambda} e^{j2\pi f_c t}.$$
 (5)

It is evident from (5) that ϕ affects only the amplitude, $\frac{pL}{\sqrt{x}} \operatorname{sinc} \left[L\left\{\sin(\theta) - \sin(\phi)\right\}/\lambda\right]$. Note that phases at array elements are only a function of the distance between the RF lens and the antenna array, independent of AoA. This is a key difference between the received signal through the lens and that received without a lens; it motivates us to derive a new CRLB of the lens-assisted antenna array.

We note that, normally, the set of focal points constitutes an arc, so that (5) is directly applicable. However, if we consider a *linear lens* then the focal points are on a line. The resulting signal can be derived by adjusting x and θ to place all focal points on a straight line.

III. SIGNAL MODEL

Consider a receiver using an RF lens. Only the signals through the RF lens can be received by the antenna elements and each antenna element is on the focal plane of the lens. In this letter, we consider two types of lenses, i) *linear lens*, in which the focal plane is assumed to be linear; and ii) *arc lens*, in which the focal plane is on an arc. Both cases are represented in Fig. 2. Regardless of the shape of the antenna array, we assume that the distance between the center of the antenna and the lens is the focal length, f. For a *linear lens*, antenna elements are placed away from the lens at a distance of f. The array antenna has an odd number of elements, N, equispaced by d with a signal coming from direction ϕ in the form of a plane wave, which has the same size lens aperture, L.

In an *arc lens*, an arc array antenna is used. Each element of the focal arc antenna is placed on the focal arc [12] by moving the linear array antenna in a direction perpendicular to the slit. In a practical situation, the length of the lens is longer than the length of the antenna by approximately 10λ at both ends to maximize the power focusing effect, resulting in higher accuracy. In this letter, however, the length of the RF lens and the antenna array are considered the same because we assume a power reception equal to ULA, thus allowing for a fair comparison of the bounds.

The index of each antenna element is set from -(N-1)/2 to (N-1)/2. Based on (5), we can define the amplitude $\mathbf{A}(\phi)$ of an $N \times N$ diagonal matrix with its diagonal elements in terms of the derived form of the received signal through the RF lens. Using the independent property between the amplitude and the phase to AoA, the signal received through the lens can be modeled as follows:

$$\mathbf{x} = p\mathbf{A}\left(\phi\right)\mathbf{s}e^{jb} + \mathbf{n}.\tag{6}$$



Fig. 2: Linear and arc lens models.

Here $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ is an $N \times 1$ column of the received signal vector, p is the transmit signal amplitude, b is the phase arrived at a lens which is contained in t in the expression of (5) since it depends on the transmitted time, and \mathbf{n} is additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with covariance $\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{I} denotes the identity matrix. Exploiting (5), $\mathbf{A}(\phi)$ can be derived as

$$\mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{x}} \operatorname{sinc}\left[\frac{L}{\lambda}\left\{\frac{dn}{x} - \sin(\phi)\right\}\right].$$
 (7)

where $x = \sqrt{d^2n^2 + f^2}$ for the linear antenna array and x = f for the arc antenna array. Note that (6) is not applicable to a ULA since the phase of the received signal of the ULA differs for each antenna element, not like RF lens antennas. If the *arc lens* is used, $\mathbf{s} = s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1}^{T} e^{-j2\pi x/\lambda}$ is the transmitted symbol without signal distortion according to AoA, where $e^{-j2\pi x/\lambda}$ is the phase induced by the lens characteristics. This is a value determined by the distance between the lens and the antenna elements. In case of the *linear lens*, the transmitted symbol becomes $\mathbf{s} = s \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{j2\pi\sqrt{d^2(-\frac{N-1}{2})^2+f^2}}{2}} & \cdots & e^{-\frac{j2\pi\sqrt{d^2(\frac{N-1}{2})^2+f^2}}{2}} \end{bmatrix}^T$

IV. CRLB ANALYSIS

In this section, we derive the CRLB of the error variance in estimating the AoA with and without an RF lens. The three parameters-amplitude, phase, and AoA of the received signalare mapped to p, b, and ϕ , respectively, and defined as vector $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} p & b & \phi \end{bmatrix}$. In both cases, let \mathbf{v} be the received signal except Gaussian noise. The received signal is thus $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{n}$. The probability density function, given the parameter vector γ , is represented as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right) = Ce^{-(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v})^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v})}$$
(8)

where $\mathbf{R} = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{I}$ and C is a normalization constant.

A. CRLB of Linear Array

Consider the received signal from a conventional ULA. The phase of the received signal changes according to AoA. The phase difference between antenna elements due to AoA is $e^{jd\cos\phi}$ when the antenna spacing is d. The received signal without Gaussian noise is therefore $\mathbf{v} = p\mathbf{s}(\phi)e^{jb}$, where $\mathbf{s}(\phi)$ is the steering vector of

$$\begin{bmatrix} z^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} & z^{-\frac{N-3}{2}} & \cdots & z^{\frac{N-3}{2}} & z^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}_{N\times 1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
 (9)

3

Here, z is $e^{jkd \cos \phi}$ where k is the index of the time sample. Note that $\mathbf{s}(\phi)$ is not same with \mathbf{s} in RF lens antenna case. Since all the non-diagonal terms in the Fisher information matrix are zero, the corresponding CRLB is readily derived as

$$CRLB_{\text{no lens}}(\phi) = \frac{6\sigma_n^2}{p^2 N \left(N^2 - 1\right) k^2 d^2 \cos^2 \phi}.$$
 (10)

B. CRLB Analysis of Lens-Assisted Antenna Array

By setting $\mathbf{v} = p\mathbf{A}(\phi)\mathbf{s}e^{jb}$, the log-likelihood of (8) without an additional constant is

$$\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right) = \frac{p}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} \left[e^{-jb} \mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{A}\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \mathbf{x} + e^{jb} \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{A}\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) - p \mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{A}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \mathbf{s} \right].$$
(11)

Since some of the off-diagonal terms in the Fisher information matrix are nonzero, the derivations of all elements are needed, as shown below:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial p^2}\right] = -\frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_n \mathbf{A}^2(\phi)_{n,n}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial b^2}\right] = -\frac{2p^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_n \mathbf{A}^2(\phi)_{n,n}$$
(12)

$$CRLB_{\text{lens}}(\phi) = \left[\frac{\sigma_n^2}{2p^2} \sum_n \mathbf{A}^2(\phi)_{n,n}\right] \bigg/ \left[\left\{ \sum_n \mathbf{A}^2(\phi)_{n,n} \right\} \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n} \right\}^2 - \left\{ \sum_n \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n} \right\}^2 \right]$$
(13)



Fig. 3: CRLB comparison with lens antenna and ULA. Note that the desired range increases as the lens size increases.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \phi^2}\right] = -\frac{2p^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_n \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n}\right\}^2$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial p \partial \phi}\right] = -\frac{2p}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_n \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{A}(\phi)_{n,n}$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial p \partial b}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial b \partial \phi}\right] = 0.$$

The summation indices omitted in (12) are from -(N-1)/2 to (N-1)/2. The CRLB for AoA estimation with a lensembedded antenna array is described in (13), shown at the top of the page.

Theorem 1 (CRLB for AoA estimation of lens antenna). *The error variance for the AoA estimation problem of lens antenna is lower bounded by* $CRLB_{lens}(\phi)$ *in* (13).

Proof. Except for the constant term, the determinant of the Fisher matrix is the denominator of (13). To check for the existence of the bound, it is necessary to confirm that the denominator is not zero. Since $\mathbf{A}(\phi)$ and $\partial \mathbf{A}(\phi) / \partial \phi$ are diagonal matrices of size $N \times N$, they are expressible in vector form of size $N \times 1$, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{u}(\phi)$ and $\mathbf{v}(\phi)$. Then, the denominator of (13) is

$$\{\mathbf{u}(\phi) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\phi)\} \{\mathbf{v}(\phi) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\phi)\} - \{\mathbf{u}(\phi) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\phi)\}^{2}.$$
(14)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (14) is always greater than zero. $\hfill \Box$

C. Graphical Description of CRLB

In this section, by exploiting (10) and (13), we compare CRLBs of antennas with and without an RF lens. When the

AoA is 0 degrees, the focal point is at the center of the MIMO array. The lens aperture is equal to the size of the antenna, L is 20λ and the focal length f is 20λ , where SNR is set to be 0 dB. The antenna spacing is $\lambda/2$; thus the number of antennas is 41. Fig. 3 shows three cases of the CRLB. The first case represents the ULA without an RF lens; the second case represents an *arc lens* where the set of focal points of the lens is an arc and the antenna is also on the arc; the third case is a *linear lens* where the set of focal points of the lens is linear and the antenna is also in its linear form.

4

In Fig. 3, the desired range is indicated by the dotted line, which implies the desired range within which the performance using the RF lens is superior to that using the conventional ULA. The range is affected by focal length and aperture, and deriving a closed form is not feasible, but it can be derived by comparison of (10) and (13). The range decreases as the focal length becomes longer and the aperture value becomes smaller. In this case, the CRLB of an RF lens-embedded antenna array outperforms a conventional ULA for a desired range of AoA which is from -50° to 50° .

Through this evaluation, we can confirm that there is no significant difference in performances between the *arc lens* and the *linear lens*. In our particular scale, within a certain range according to the focal length, the CRLB of the RF lensembedded antenna array outperforms the conventional ULA by as much as 10 times, as shown in Fig. 3.

V. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we investigated the CRLB for an RF lensembedded antenna array using an optics-based diffraction model. We demonstrated that with respect to the AoA estimation the RF lens antenna can achieve much better performance than ULA. Note that the results are based on equally spaced antenna. As we have shown in this letter, the information of the AoA only exists in the amplitude among the received signal. In other words, the performance of AoA estimation depends on the acquisition of amplitude. What is important here then is the position of each antenna element, as it is a spatial sampling of the amplitude. The CRLB-achieving solution is still an open problem and we leave this for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by IITP grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT) (2016-0-00208, High Accurate Positioning Enabled MIMO Transmission and Network Technologies for Next 5G-V2X Services).

REFERENCES

[1] J. G. Andrews, S. Buzzi, W. Choi, S. V. Hanly, A. Lozano, A. C. K. Soong, and J. C. Zhang, "What will 5G be?" *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1065–1082, 2014.

- [2] F. Boccardi, R. W. Heath Jr., A. Lozano, T. Marzetta, and P. Popovski, "Five disruptive technology directions for 5G," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 74–80, 2014.
- [3] T. S. Rappaport, S. Sun, R. Mayzua, H. Zhao, Y. Azar, K. Wang, G. N. Wong, J. K. Schulz, M. Samimi, and F. Gutierrez, "Millimeter wave mobile communications for 5G cellular: it will work!" *IEEE Access*, vol. 1, pp. 335–349, 2013.
- [4] T. Kwon, Y.-G. Lim, B.-W. Min, and C.-B. Chae, "RF lens-embedded massive MIMO systems: Fabrication issues and codebook design," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 2256–2271, 2016.
- [5] Y. Zeng, R. Zhang, and Z. N. Chen, "Electromagnetic lens-focusing antenna enabled massive MIMO: performance improvement and cost reduction," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1194–1206, 2014.
- [6] J. Ala-Laurinaho, J. Aurinsalo, A. Karttunen, M. Kaunisto, A. Lamminen, J. Nurmiharju, A. V. Risnen, J. Sily, and P. Wainio, "2-D beamsteerable integrated lens antenna system for 5G E-band access and backhaul," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 2244– 2255, 2016.
- [7] A. Maltsev, A. Sadri, A. Pudeyev, and I. Bolotin, "Highly directional steerable antennas," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 32–39, 2016.
- [8] Y. Zeng and R. Zhang, "Millimeter wave MIMO with lens antenna array: a new path division multiplexing paradigm," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1557–1571, 2016.
- [9] Y. J. Cho, G.-Y. Suk, B. Kim, D. K. Kim, and C.-B. Chae, "RF lens-embedded antenna array for mmwave MIMO: Design and performance," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, to be published. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07167v1
- [10] A. Dadgarpour, M. Sharifi, T. A. Denidni, and A. A. Kishk, "Passive beam switching and dual-beam radiation slot antenna loaded with ENZ medium and excited through ridge gap waveguide at millimeter-waves," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 92–102, 2017.
- [11] Z. Briqech, A.-R. Sebak, and T. A. Denidni, "Wide-scan MSC-AFTSA array-fed grooved spherical lens antenna for millimeter-wave MIMO applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 2971– 2980, 2016.
- [12] L. Yang, Y. Zeng, and R. Zhang, "In-band wireless information and power transfer with lens antenna array," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 100–103, 2017.
- [13] P. Kela, M. Costa, J. Turkka, M. Koivisto, and J. Werner, "Location based beamforming in 5G ultra-dense networks," in *Proc. IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. (VTC)*, 2016.
- [14] "5G Automotive Vision," White Paper, 5G Public-Private-Partnership, 2015.
- [15] F. A. Jenkins and H. E. White, Fundamentals of Optics. Mcgraw Hill, 1957.